

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,849	08/29/2003	Paul M. Henry	50019.242US01/P05640	50019.242US01/P05640 8150	
23552	7590 01/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816	2816	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/651,849	HENRY, PAUL M.				
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Terry D. Cunningham	2816	<u> </u>			
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
THE MAILING DATE ( - Extensions of time may be avarafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the lift the period for reply specifiering the lift NO period for reply is specifiering.  - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 he mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply fied above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, ice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ly 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FII	NAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ciosed in accord	ance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 48	3 U.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above	<ul> <li>Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) <u>10-12,14 and 21</u> is/are allowed.</li> </ul>						
	(i) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,13 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/a							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examiner	•					
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Applicant may not							
Replacement drav							
11) The oath or decla	aration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119						
a) All b) Som 1. Certified c 2. Certified c	ne * c) None of: opies of the priority documents opies of the priority documents	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application of the proceived in Application of the	on No	I Stage			
application	n from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached	detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited	d (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's P	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	°O 450)			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PT	U-152)			

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Summary of changes in this action

- 1. Examiner has reconsidered the rejection to claim 5 and has accordingly removed this rejection. The reference to Gilbert does not disclose the "current mirror" arrangement. Claims 5-9 are now allowed.
- 2. Examiner has reconsidered the rejection to claim 21 and has accordingly removed such. The reference to Gilbert does not disclose the specific arrangement having 3 amplifiers and 3 offset adjustment circuit and wherein some "control signals" are "asserted" while others are "deasserted".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gilbert (5,077,541).

With respect to claim s 1-9, 13, 15-19 and 21, Gilbert discloses, in Figs. 3 and 4, a circuit comprising: "a first stage means (36) that includes an array of amplifier circuits (6)"; each amplifier including "an offset adjustment circuit (I)", "a common node (inputs to 28)" and "an input (bases of 36)"; "a second stage means (28)"; "a reference signal (SIGNAL OUTPUT)"; "a feedback means (feedback resistor, R, R<sub>T</sub> and resistor between 38 and R<sub>T</sub>)"; and "a null control means (R<sub>S</sub>)". Since the feedback arrangement would provide a band-gap operation, it would

Page 3

have been more than reasonable to consider the "feedback means" to be a "band-gap core circuit".

With respect to claim 20, clearly the above circuit to Gilbert will provide the recited method.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited elements are not "a band-gap core circuit". While this circuit may not be a "band-gap" circuit, per se (similarly as Applicant's "feedback means" is not a "band-gap circuit", per se), it is clearly reasonable to consider such a "band-gap core". With respect to Applicant's remarks concerning the "nulling circuit", clearly certain resistors Rs will provide a voltage below the threshold of the corresponding transistor 40. When one of transistors 40 is turned off, it is reasonable to consider such to be nulled. And lastly, concerning Applicant's remarks about adjusting "offset", it is clear that the arrangement provide an offsets to the "amplifier circuit" that vary proportional to current. When tail current changes, the "offset" will also necessarily change.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/651,849 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

date of this final action.

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC January 23, 2006 Terry D. Cunning to Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816